


PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : C08J 5/18, B01D 71/36, 13/04	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 90/08801 (43) International Publication Date: 9 August 1990 (09.08.90)
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US90/00498</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 24 January 1990 (24.01.90)</p> <p>(30) Priority data: 305,839 2 February 1989 (02.02.89) US</p> <p>(71) Applicant: W. L. GORE & ASSOCIATES, INC. [US/US]; 551 Paper Mill Road, P.O. Box 9206, Newark, DE 19714 (US).</p> <p>(72) Inventor: BACINO, John, E. ; 34 White Oak Road, Landenberg, PA 19350 (US).</p> <p>(74) Agents: SAMUELS, Gary, A. et al.; W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc., 551 Paper Mill Road, P.O. Box 9206, Newark, DE 19714 (US).</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: AT (European patent), AU, BE (European patent), CA, CH (European patent), DE (European patent), DK (European patent), ES (European patent), FR (European patent), GB (European patent), IT (European patent), JP, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), SE (European patent).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>
<p>(54) Title: HIGHLY AIR PERMEABLE EXPANDED POLYTETRAFLUOROETHYLENE MEMBRANES AND PROCESS FOR MAKING THEM</p> <p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>A thin, low density porous polytetrafluoroethylene membrane having very large pores (5) and heretofore unknown high air permeabilities is described. The membrane is produced by a particular series of longitudinal and transverse stretching steps carried out under particular conditions.</p> 		

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar
AU	Australia	FI	Finland	ML	Mali
BB	Barbados	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
BE	Belgium	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BF	Burkina Faso	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	SD	Sudan
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SN	Senegal
CG	Congo	LI	Liechtenstein	SU	Soviet Union
CH	Switzerland	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CM	Cameroon	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany, Federal Republic of	MC	Monaco	US	United States of America
DK	Denmark				

HIGHLY AIR PERMEABLE EXPANDED POLYTETRAFLUOROETHYLENE
MEMBRANES AND PROCESS FOR MAKING THEM

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention relates to thin expanded porous
polytetrafluoroethylene products. More particularly, it relates
to thin porous polytetrafluoroethylene membranes which have very
large pores and so high permeabilities to air, i.e. Frazier
10 numbers between 100 and 300. The membranes have a unique open
structure and are particularly suitable for use as air or liquid
filtration media, as substrates for coatings, and other uses as
will be obvious to those skilled in the art.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

15 Expanded porous polytetrafluoroethylene products are well
known, as for example in U.S. Patents 3,953,566 and 3,962,153.
The products described therein have air permeabilities on the
order of .032 metric permeability units (which corresponds to a
Frazier number of 0.67). U.S. Patent 4,187,390 describes such
products having air permeabilities on the order of 0.6 metric
20 units (which corresponds to a Frazier number of 12). U.S. Patent
4,598,011 also describes such products having air permeabilities
expressed as Gurley numbers of 6.5 to 27.5 seconds (which
corresponds to Frazier numbers of 0.48 to 0.11).

25 Heretofore, expanded porous polytetrafluoroethylene membranes
with air permeabilities greater than about Frazier numbers of 70
were commercially unknown. Similarly, the production of thin
membranes with densities of 0.2 g/cc. or below and porosities at
or above 90% and thicknesses below one mil usually led to products
which were too fragile to handle.

30 It is desirable to provide membranes with higher air
permeabilities and greater efficiencies. Typically, air
filtration to achieve very low particulate contamination in the
filtered air has been performed with membranes with small pores
and with high efficiencies of particulate capture. Efficiency is
35 a measure of the number of particles of a predefined size which
are prevented from penetrating a filter.

-2-

In the computer disc drive industry, where extreme cleanliness is imperative to success, it is recognized that it is more important to reduce particulate contamination quickly by recirculating the air more rapidly through a more permeable, less efficient filter, and to get to a similar level of total contamination as one would get more slowly with a high efficiency filter. To achieve this end, polytetrafluoroethylene membranes with much higher air permeabilities than have been available heretofore were required. Commercially available porous expanded polytetrafluoroethylene membranes for filtration membranes have air permeabilities of up to a Frazier number of 70 and efficiencies of about 93% when challenged with sodium chloride (0.3 um particle size) aerosols at 10.5 feet per minute space velocity.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

This invention provides thin, porous low density expanded polytetrafluoroethylene membranes with very large pores and very high air permeabilities. The open membranes or webs have structures defined by series of rows of nodes running transversely across the membrane. The membranes of the invention have porosities equal to or greater than 95%, densities of 0.2g/cc or less, thickness below 1 mil and air permeabilities between 100 and 300 Frazier numbers.

To obtain the membrane of this invention it is necessary to first stretch the membrane tape while wet with extrusion aid. This is done by stretching the wet tape to at least 1.5 times, preferably 2 to 4 times its original width. It has been found that when said wet stretching is included in the process, the ability of the subsequently dried tape to longitudinally expand is markedly increased. If the stretching is done in several steps, many tapes can be expanded up to 30 times their original length. Subsequent transverse stretching to at least 1.5 times, preferably 6 to 11 times the original width. Preferably, this last stretch step is followed by sintering to amorously lock the membrane structure provides very open diaphanous webs.

-3-

During initial longitudinal stretching, rows of nodes are formed which are substantially continuous bars of dense tightly packed particles in which nodes are connected to nodes transversely and the rows of nodes are connected to each other longitudinally by fibrils. During continued stretching, the bars of nodes form smaller individual (usually less than 10 um in the largest dimension) nodes or clumps of small nodes; which are joined to each other by fibrils and the rows of nodes are joined to each other by very long fibrils. When stretching approaches the top limits of the invention, providing membranes with Frazier numbers of 200 to 350, the nodes are virtually all separated and have themselves begun to have a fibrillated structure.

It has also been found that the openness or permeability of said membranes is increased by using larger amounts of extrusion aid, up to the limit of the particular resin to provide a coherent and uniform extrudate.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a Scanning Electron Micrograph of the membrane of Example 6 in Table II at 1000 magnifications illustrating the rows of transverse fibrillated nodes connected by bundles of fibrils and the longitudinal fibrils forming large pores.

Figure 2 is a drawing depicting the structure seen in Figure 1. The long fibrils 1 connect the rows 2 of transverse fibrillated nodes 3 connected by bundles of fibers 4 resulting in large open pores 5.

Figure 3 is a drawing depicting the equipment used for longitudinal expansion consisting of a bank 6 of feed rolls 7 and a bank 8 of take up rolls 9 through which the tape 10 is threaded, passing through heating zone 11.

The drawings in Figure 4 depicts the device used for measuring the air resistance of the membrane from which the permeability in Frazier numbers is calculated. The source of air (1) compressor feeds a receiving tank and the air flows thin through a pressure

-4-

regulator 3 and needle valve 4 to adjust the flow measures by flowmeter 5. A known amount of air 6 at a controlled flow rate passes into the upper stream chamber 7 which holds the sample membrane 9 sealed to the down stream chamber 10 by seals 11. The
5 resistance to flow of the air induced by the membrane is measured in a water-filled u-tube manometer 12.

DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The products of this invention are thin, porous, low density membranes of expanded polytetrafluoroethylene whose structures are
10 defined by a series of rows of nodes running transversely across the membrane. These nodes appear early in the longitudinal stretching process as bars of dense, tightly packed particles; the bars can be considered to consist of nodes connected to nodes transversely and the series of bars are connected to other bars
15 longitudinally by fibrils. After longitudinal and transverse stretching as described herein the bars have become broken up and form very small particles or clumps of particles (or small nodes) usually 10 μm or less in the longest dimension. The small nodes are joined to other such nodes by fibrils and bundles of fibrils
20 and the series of rows are joined longitudinally by very long fibrils. When the stretching is carried out to provide membranes with Frazier numbers of about 100 or above, even the nodes appear to take on a fibrillated nature.

The pores in these membranes are so large that the usual
25 method of estimating pore size by ethanol or methanol bubble point fails. Using scanning electron micrographs and physically measuring the dimensions the majority of the pores appear to have areas of about 40 μm^2 to 200 μm^2 . Membranes of this invention have air permeabilities of 100 to 300 Frazier numbers preferably
30 150-300, and filtration efficiencies of 35% to 60% at 0.3 μm particle size.

Air permeability, as used herein, is expressed as Frazier numbers, which ordinarily means the volume of air in cubic feet passing through one square foot of sample under a differential

-5-

pressure of 0.50 inches of water per minute. Herein, the Frazier number is a calculated value determined from the air resistance measurements described herein. The calculation is:

$$\frac{133.33}{\text{mm water resistance}} = \text{Frazier Number}$$

5 Porosity as used herein is determined by the equation:
Porosity = $100 \frac{2.2 - \text{S.G. of sample}}{2.2}$

S.G. = specific gravity

10 The polytetrafluoroethylene used herein is coagulated dispersion or fine powder polytetrafluoroethylene. Several such resins that have been used demonstrate that the various commercially available fine powders from the several suppliers of such resins are suitable in the process. Some such resins can tolerate more extrusion aid than others and still yield products within the range of permeability desired. Some such resins
15 suitable for use are Fluon® CD-123 and Fluon CD-1 available from ICI Americas, Inc., although there is some batch to batch variability which alters how much they can be expanded. E. I. duPont de Nemours and Co., Inc., also manufacture Teflon® fine powders that are suitable for use.

20 The coagulated dispersion powders are lubricated with 130 cc/lb to 250 cc/lb of a hydrocarbon extrusion aid, preferably an odorless mineral spirit such as Isopar K (made by Exxon Corp.). The lubricated powder is compressed into cylinders and extruded in a ram extruder to form tapes. The tape is compressed between
25 rolls to an appropriate thickness, usually 5 to 10 mils. The wet tape is stretched to 1.5 to 5 times its original width. The extrusion aid is driven off with heat. The dried tape is then expanded longitudinally between banks of rolls in a space heated to a temperature that is below the polymer melting point (327°C).
30 The longitudinal expansion is repeated up to 6 times to a total expansion of at least 5 times and up to 30 times the original tape length.

In each step of longitudinal expansion, the payoff rolls can be made to rotate more slowly than the take-up rolls so effecting the expansion. The tape is allowed to neck down, both transversely and in thickness during the longitudinal expansion. Care must be taken to avoid splitting of the tape during these expansions.

Next, the tape, after the longitudinal expansion, is expanded transversely at a temperature that is less than 327°C to at least 1.5 times and preferable to 6 to 11 times the width of the original extrudate while restraining the membrane from longitudinal contraction. While still under constraint the membrane is preferably heated to above the polymer melting point (327°C) and then cooled.

The effect of varying the amount of extrusion aid on the permeability of the final film is illustrated in the examples. Briefly, increased amounts of extrusion aid result in increased air permeability (or lower resistance to air flow) in the membranes made with the same amount of expansion. Generally, for this process extrusion aid levels below about 130 CC/pound of resin are not used. The particular polytetrafluoroethylene resin being used largely determines the maximum amount of extrusion aid that can be used. Some resins are more tolerant to large amounts of lubricant, whereas others require less. Over-lubricated powders produce non-uniform extrudates which tend to fracture during expansion.

Similarly, the permeability of the final membranes increases with increased amounts of expansion at the same lubricant level. This too is illustrated in the examples.

It is unexpected and surprising, and contrary to previous experience that a general purpose polytetrafluoroethylene coagulated dispersion powder such as Fluon® CD-1, should expand to the extent needed to provide the products of this invention. In fact, in this process, some batches of Fluon CD-1 out perform Fluon CD-123, providing membranes with higher Frazier numbers from similarly lubricated powders and similar amount of expansion than does Fluon CD-123.

-7-

5 The longitudinal expansion provides the row of nodes connected by nodes and some fibrils across the tape and long longitudinal fibrils which connect the rows. The transverse expansion breaks or cracks the clumps of nodes or bars to provide very small nodes with many fibrils connecting node to node both in the transverse and longitudinal directions. This creates an open or porous structure.

10 It is this open, but strong structure that provides the high permeability of the membranes or webs of this invention, and provides extremely thin, highly porous and very low density, while still having sufficient strength for use in filtration.

15 In a preferred embodiment, the polytetrafluoroethylene coagulated dispersion powder is lubricated with 140 to 190 cc. of Isopar K extrusion aid per pound of resin. The lubricated powder is compressed into a cylinder and extruded in a ram extruder to provide a tape. The tape is compressed between rollers to between 5 and 10 mils and is stretched transversely to 2 to 4, most preferably 3 times its original width. The lubricant is driven off by heating to about 210°C. Longitudinal expansion is performed in an apparatus as illustrated in Figure 3. The dried tape is fed into a bank of 3 or 4 rolls which are driven at a controlled but variable speed. The tape passes through a zone heated to a temperature below the polymer melting point (327°C) preferably to 250° to 300°C and then into a second bank of 3 rolls which are driven independently of the first bank and whose speed is also variable. Expansion takes place by having the second set of rolls rotating more rapidly than the first bank. The tape is expanded longitudinally in 2 to 6 steps to a total of from 1.5 times to up to 30 times its rolled down length, depending upon the air permeability desired. During the longitudinal expansion the tape both narrows and thins due to necking. The tape is then expanded transversely at a temperature below its polymer melting point (327°C.) preferably at 250° to 300°C. to 7.0 to 11 times its original extruded width. The transverse expansion is performed while constraining the membrane from longitudinal shrinkage. The membrane is heated to above the polymer melting point (327°C.), more preferably to 360°C. and then cooled.

20
25
30
35

-8-

When performed under the aforescribed conditions, highly porous, very thin expanded polytetrafluoroethylene membranes with permeabilities to air up to Frazier numbers of 300 are produced.

5 Physical properties of a typical membrane (Example 3) produced by this invention are shown in Table I.

The products of this invention find use in air filtration equipment used in areas demanding rapid attainment of extreme cleanliness, in surgical masks, vacuum cleaner filters or bags, exhaust filters and generally in recirculating filtration
10 equipment.

-9-

Table I

		Membrane of Example 3 <u>Properties</u>
5	Frazier Number	196
	Density (g/cc)	0.09
	Porosity (%)	96
	Thickness (mils)	0.3
	Water Entry Pressure (psi)	0.36
10	Filtration efficiency with 0.3 um aerosol	46.9
	Matrix Tensile Strength (psi)*	21292 ± 3002

15 *Matrix tensile strength is determined by dividing the maximum
force to break by the cross sectional area of the sample and
multiplying by the ratio of the specific gravity of the solid
polymer divided by the specific gravity of the sample material.

-10-

Thickness

Thickness is determined from one ply of membrane 5 inches by 5 inches square using shim stock so as not to compress the membrane while measuring thickness with calipers.

5

Density

Density is determined by weighing the 5 inch by 5 inch square membrane used to determine thickness and calculating the density.

Tensile Strength

10 Tensile strength is determined by the method described in ASTM D882.

Water Entry Pressure

15 Water entry pressure was measured by mounting a membrane sample on a test plate taking care not to damage the membrane. The sample was then placed over a water filled J tube was then pressurized in small increments, waiting 30 seconds after each change of pressure and watching for the first signs of water break through in the center of the film (to avoid erroneous results from damaged edges). The pressure at break through was recorded as the water entry pressure. A minimum of four samples were tested and
20 the average of the results was reported.

Air Permeability

Air permeability is calculated using the resistance to flow measurements.

25 For the purposes of this patent, "resistance" is defined, generally, as the differential pressure across a sheet of air filter media just sufficient to cause air to flow through the sheet at a chosen air velocity, and more specifically, as the differential pressure measured in mm of water column causing an air velocity of 3.2 m/min.

-11-

Resistance is measured as follows: a hollow test chamber is clamped about a sheet of media such that the sheet partitions the chamber interior into two separate compartments, and such that the junction of the chamber walls and the sheet is throughout its perimeter well sealed. This sheet has some known area exposed to the chamber interior as defined by the inner perimeter of the seals.

The chamber compartment that is on one side of the sheet is freely vented to the atmosphere. On the other side of the sheet, the other compartment is sealed to some source of controlled air flow. This source is provided by an air compressor, a receiving tank, a pressure regulator, a needle valve, and a flowmeter.

The air source is adjusted to deliver the correct air flow, equal to the aforementioned known sheet area multiplied by the desired air velocity (and therefore having the correct dimensions of volume per unit time). For example, if the sheet area defined by the seals is 0.15 m^2 , the correct flow would be:

$$(0.15 \text{ m}^2) \times (3.2 \text{ m/min.}) = (0.48 \text{ m}^3/\text{min})$$

A sensitive differential pressure sensing device, such as a water-filled "U" tube or an electronic transducer, is connected to the two chamber compartments such that it registers the pressure differential across the sheet.

The sheet sample's resistance is measured by sealing the sheet between chamber parts, establishing the correct air flow through the chamber and sheet, and detecting the resultant differential pressure.

Moreover, additional optional precautions to improve the accuracy of measurement include the chamber being double-walled and the seals doubled, such that a guard zone separately surrounds the known sheet area, and this surrounding space plumbed to transport additional air identically through the guard zone, such that the inner seal which defines the known area enjoys equal pressures within and without, and zero leakage is guaranteed from the critical inner chamber.

-12-

Also, the flowmeter is a precalibrated laminar flow element, whose linear differential pressure output is read by the same pressure instrument through valving, such that scaling and viscosity (temperature) errors are to a first order cancelled and the determination is in fact rationmetric.

The ratio of absolute pressures is measured between the flowmeter and the downstream chamber compartment, and an ideal gas law correction is applied, such that a volumetric flow is rightly determined at the downstream face of the sheet.

Using resistance values in mm water pressure across the sample, Frazier numbers are calculated by:

$$\frac{133.33}{\text{mm H}_2\text{O}} = \text{Frazier number}$$

Efficiency Testing

The filter efficiency testing is done using a similar apparatus/housing as the resistance test. A well mixed, sodium chloride aerosol in an air stream with a known velocity is directed thru a sample of air filter media. A small sample is taken of the challenge air stream and the penetrating air stream. The samples are channelled thru to dual laser particle counters which give an accurate count of the sodium chloride particles in each air stream. A penetration value is the ratio of the counts of particles larger than 0.3 um in the penetrating air stream/counts of particles larger than 0.3 um in the challenge air stream. The % efficiency value is 100 minus percent penetration.

EXAMPLES

The table illustrates the effect of different hydrocarbon extrusion aid lubricant levels and of stretch lengths on the air permeabilities of the membranes.

Examples 2 and 3 demonstrate the degree of repeatability of the process. Examples 1 and 2 demonstrate the effect of higher longitudinal expansion at constant extrusion aid levels on to air permeability.

-13-

Example 5

Polytetrafluoroethylene fine powder, Fluon® CD-1 (available from ICI Americas, Inc.) was blended with Isopor K (available from Exxon Corp.) at the rate of 170cc of Isopor K/pound of fine powder. The lubricate powder was compressed into a cylinder and was ram extruded to provide a tape. The tape was compressed between rolls to a thickness of 7 1/2 mils and was stretched transversely to 2.4 times its original width. The Isopor K was driven off by heating to 210°C. The dry tape was expanded longitudinally between banks of rolls in a zone heated to 250°C. The ratio of speeds of the second bank of rolls to the first bank of rolls was 1.5/1 in the first pass and 5.8/1, 1.5/1 and 1.5/1 in subsequent passes for a total of 19.6 times total longitudinal expansion. The tape was heated to 250°C and transversely expanded to 7.4 times the width of the extrudate while constrained from shrinkage and then to 360°C while still constrained. The membrane had a density of 0.2 g/cc, its air permeability was a Frazier number of 156 and it had an efficiency of 66.3% of 0.3 μ m particles.

Examples 1-4 and 6

Other examples were made using the above procedure with various polytetrafluoroethylene coagulated dispersion powders. The only operating variables were the amount of extrusion aid used and the total amount of longitudinal expansion. These operating variables are shown in Table II with the air resistance, the air permeability in Frazier numbers and the percent efficiency in capturing 0.3 μ m and larger particles for each sample.

TABLE II

Manufacture of Membranes with a Broad
Range of Air Permeability*

Example	Lubricant cc/lb	Longitudinal Expansion (total)	Resistance MM H ₂ O	Frazier Numbers	Efficiency %
1	160	22.2	.95	140	49.5
2	160	28.0	.69	202	55.
3	160	28.0	.68	196	46.9
4	175	25.0	.45	296	34.4
5	170	19.6	.85	156	66.3
6	170	27.0	1.21	110	84.5
Comparison**	200	8.7	Failed To Expand		


*Air permeabilities as expressed by resistance to air flow in mm H₂O and
10.5 fpm space velocity and as Frazier numbers

**At 200 cc/lb lubricant, the material could not be expanded beyond 8.7/1 and produced
non-uniform membrane when expanded transversely.

What is Claimed:

1. A thin, low density, porous polytetrafluoroethylene membrane having a very open structure defined by small nodes interconnected with fibrils, said membrane characterized by having a porosity equal to or greater than 90%, a density of 0.2 g/cc or less, and permeabilities to air between Frazier numbers of 100 and 350.
2. The membrane of Claim 1 wherein the majority of the nodes are arranged in series of generally aligned parallel rows across the membrane, and are connected node to node by fibrils and bundles of fibrils and the rows are connected to each other by long fibrils.
3. The membrane of Claim 1 wherein the membrane is a film of a thickness of less than 1 mil.
4. The membrane of Claim 2 wherein the membrane is a film of a thickness of less than 1 mil.
5. The membrane of Claim 4 wherein the permeability to air is between Frazier numbers of 150 to 300.
6. An air filtration device containing a membrane of Claim 1 as the filter.
7. An air filtration device containing a membrane of Claim 2 as the filter.

FIG. 1






FIG. 2








FIG. 3



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US 90/00498

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) * According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC IPC ⁵ : C 08 J 5/18, B 01 D 71/36, B 01 D 13/04														
II. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum Documentation Searched ⁷ Classification System I Classification Symbols IPC ⁵ C 08 J Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched ⁸														
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Category ⁹</th> <th>Citation of Document, ¹¹ with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹²</th> <th>Relevant to Claim No. ¹³</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X</td> <td>GB, A, 2025835 (NITTO ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL) 30 January 1980 see claim 8; page 5, line 52; page 7, line 18 --</td> <td>1, 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>EP, A, 0106496 (W.L. GORE & ASSOCIATES) 25 April 1984 cited in the application --</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>US, A, 4187390 (R.W. GORE) 5 February 1980 see column 5, lines 14-22 cited in the application -----</td> <td>1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Category ⁹	Citation of Document, ¹¹ with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹²	Relevant to Claim No. ¹³	X	GB, A, 2025835 (NITTO ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL) 30 January 1980 see claim 8; page 5, line 52; page 7, line 18 --	1, 2	A	EP, A, 0106496 (W.L. GORE & ASSOCIATES) 25 April 1984 cited in the application --		A	US, A, 4187390 (R.W. GORE) 5 February 1980 see column 5, lines 14-22 cited in the application -----	1
Category ⁹	Citation of Document, ¹¹ with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹²	Relevant to Claim No. ¹³												
X	GB, A, 2025835 (NITTO ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL) 30 January 1980 see claim 8; page 5, line 52; page 7, line 18 --	1, 2												
A	EP, A, 0106496 (W.L. GORE & ASSOCIATES) 25 April 1984 cited in the application --													
A	US, A, 4187390 (R.W. GORE) 5 February 1980 see column 5, lines 14-22 cited in the application -----	1												
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> <p>* Special categories of cited documents: ¹⁰</p> <p>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p> </div> <div> <p>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</p> <p>"Z" document member of the same patent family</p> </div> </div>														
IV. CERTIFICATION <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 3rd May 1990</td> <td>Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 14. 06. 90</td> </tr> <tr> <td>International Searching Authority EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE</td> <td>Signature of Authorized Officer C. D. v. d. VLIET </td> </tr> </table>			Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 3rd May 1990	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 14. 06. 90	International Searching Authority EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE	Signature of Authorized Officer C. D. v. d. VLIET 								
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 3rd May 1990	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 14. 06. 90													
International Searching Authority EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE	Signature of Authorized Officer C. D. v. d. VLIET 													

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

**ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

US 9000498
SA 34358

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on 01/06/90. The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
GB-A- 2025835	30-01-80	JP-A, B, C54156067	08-12-79
		JP-A, B, C54156068	08-12-79
		DE-A, C 2921367	06-12-79
EP-A- 0106496	25-04-84	US-A- 4482516	13-11-84
		DE-A- 3377288	11-08-88
		JP-A- 59145124	20-08-84
		US-A- 4598011	01-07-86
		CA-A- 1241511	06-09-88
		JP-A- 59192539	31-10-84
US-A- 4187390	05-02-80	BE-A- 767423	18-10-71
		CA-A- 962021	04-02-75
		CH-A- 555377	31-10-74
		DE-A, B 2123316	02-12-71
		FR-A- 2090775	14-01-72
		GB-A- 1355373	05-06-74
		JP-A, B, C51030277	15-03-76
		JP-A, B 50138387	04-11-75
		NL-A- 7107000	23-11-71
		SE-B- 392582	04-04-77
		US-A- 3962153	08-06-76
		US-A- 3953566	27-04-76

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☒ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER: _____**

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.